AMSER Case of the Month October 2020

69-year old male status post abdominal surgery presenting after unwitnessed fall

Ianto Lin Xi, MS IV Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania

Linda Nunes, MD, MPH Pennsylvania Hospital, Penn Medicine, Chief of Body Magnetic Resonance Imaging





Patient Presentation

- HPI: 69 yo M with, notably, adenocarcinoma of the pancreatic head, biliary stricture s/p biliary stent on post-op day 2 after gastrojejunostomy, was found unresponsive after an unwitnessed fall.
- PMH: extensive*
- PSH: prior liver embolization and biliary stent

*Additionally, patient has a history of HIV, tongue SCC s/p resection/reconstruction, chronic pancreatitis, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in remission s/p chemotherapy, non-ischemic cardiomyopathy with ICD. CKD.



Pertinent Labs

- Labs obtained during trauma protocol:
 - Lactate 5.2 -> 3.1 (on repeat 40 minutes later)
 - ABG: pH 7.2; pCO2 58; pO2 49; Bicarb 23.7

What Imaging Should We Order?



Select the applicable ACR Appropriateness Criteria

| Variant 1: Suspected acute mesenteric ischemia. Initial imaging. | | | This imaging |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Procedure | Appropriateness Category | Relative Radiation Level | modality was |
| CTA abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast | Usually Appropriate | ବତତତ | ordered by t |
| CT abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast | May Be Appropriate | ଚଚଚ | trauma team |
| Arteriography abdomen | May Be Appropriate (Disagreement) | ଚଚଚ | |
| MRA abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast | May Be Appropriate (Disagreement) | 0 | |
| Radiography abdomen | May Be Appropriate | 88 | |
| US duplex Doppler abdomen | May Be Appropriate | 0 | |
| CT abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ବବବବ | |
| CT abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ଚଚଚ | |
| MRA abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | 0 | |

MSER

۱e

Findings (unlabeled)







Fine lucencies in the anti-dependent periphery of the liver

Findings (labeled)

Axial image at the level of the liver, Lung window

Air in the peritoneum

Central branching lucencies

Distended stomach with air-fluid level

Lucencies along the wall of the stomach, consistent with pneumatosis



MASER

Final Dx:

Pneumobilia and Portal Venous Gas



Case Discussion

 Pneumobilia and portal venous gas can be distinguished by their appearance on CT

Pneumobilia presents as a gas pattern that is:

Centrally located

Branching

Anti-dependent: preference for the left lobe of the liver

Portal venous gas presents as a gas pattern that is:

Peripherally located (extends to within 2cm of the liver capsule)

Made up of smaller air bubbles

Generally more extensive than pneumobilia



Case Discussion

- Causes of Pneumobilia
 - latrogenic (most common)
 - Biliary-enteric surgical anastomosis
 - Recent ERCP
 - Other
 - Spontaneous biliary-enteric fistula (most common non-iatrogenic cause)
 - Incompetent sphincter of Oddi
 - Rare but "can't miss" causes
 - Emphysematous cholecystitis
 - Acute cholangitis
 - Liver abscess
- Our patient had a biliary stent
 - a permanent biliary-enteric connection

Liver window, axial slice,



Soft tissue window, coronal reformat, closely cropped

- Causes of Portal Venous Gas (broad differential)
- Alterations of the bowel wall
 - e.g. bowel ischemia, IBD
- Distention of the bowel lumen
 - e.g. bowel obstruction, endoscopy, ileus
- Intra-abdominal sepsis
 - e.g. diverticulitis, cholecystitis, appendicitis
- Idiopathic
 - e.g. Pneumatosis intestinalis, corticosteroid use
- Our patient had pneumatosis intestinalis and a portion of non-enhancing jejunum, thought to be ischemic bowel

Mesenteric gas

Portion of bowel with differential enhancement Pneumatosis intestinalis



Case continued

- Given the patient's
 - Elevated lactate
 - Imaging evidence of ischemic bowel
 - non-enhancing jejunum
 - portal venous gas
 - pneumatosis intestinalis
- An exploratory laparotomy was performed.

• The patient's bowel was determined to be healthy by intraoperative visual inspection.



Case resolution

- Five days later, the patient developed signs of sepsis, and another CT abdomen/pelvis was obtained.
- Appreciate
 - Interval resolution of peripheral liver lucencies
 - Interval resolution of pneumatosis intestinalis
 - Persistent central branching lucencies in the liver
- Conclusion
 - Interval resolution of portal venous gas
 - Persistent pneumobilia due to the biliary stent
- Takeaways
 - Identify the difference between pneumobilia and portal venous gas on CT
 - Portal venous gas and pneumobilia are important imaging features but can be non-specific and must be interpreted in the context of clinical presentation.
- For another interesting and similar case, consider taking a look at this case study by Hussein et al.: https://doi.org/10.1093/jscr/rjv136





References:

- McGarry K, McGrogan D, Gillespie JS, Clements B. PNEUMOBILIA VERSUS PORTAL VENOUS GAS IN BLUNT ABDOMINAL TRAUMA. Ulster Med J. 2018;87(3):198-199.
- Sherman, Scott C., and Huy Tran. "Pneumobilia: benign or life-threatening." The Journal of emergency medicine 30.2 (2006): 147-153.
- Mallappa S, Warren OJ, Kantor R, Mohsen Y, Harris S. Pneumatosis intestinalis and hepatic portal venous gas on computed tomography - a non-lethal outcome. JRSM Short Rep. 2011;2(11):88. doi:10.1258/shorts.2011.011081
- Hussein, Adam, and Rohit Makhija. "Extensive portal venous gas in a post-operative patient with no identifiable cause." Journal of surgical case reports 2015.10 (2015).

