AMSER Case of the Month August 2021

37F with palpable breast mass

Kate Kelly, MS4 Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania



Linda White Nunes, MD, MPH Department of Radiology, Penn Medicine



Patient Presentation

- HPI: 37 year old G2P2002 presenting for a diagnostic mammogram after she was found to have a 1.5cm right breast mass on routine physical exam. She notes a 6lb unintentional weight loss in the last 2 months.
- PMH: fibroadenoma in left breast
- PSH: liposuction, rhinoplasty
- FH: No family history of breast or ovarian cancer
- OB/GYN: G2P2002
 - Age of menarche onset: 11; Age of first delivery: 23
- PE: 1.5cm mass at 11 o'clock on right breast, no nipple changes or palpable axillary lymph nodes
- Pertinent labs: none



What Imaging Should We Order?



Select the applicable ACR Appropriateness Criteria

	V	ar	iaı	nt	1	1	:	
--	---	----	-----	----	---	---	---	--

Palpable breast mass. Female, 30 to 39 years of age, initial evaluation. (See <u>Appendix 3</u> for additional steps in the workup of these patients.)

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*		
US breast	8	If imaged initially with US, see Variants 7-10 for additional imaging.	0		
Mammography diagnostic	8	If imaged initially with mammography, see Variants 2-5. See references [14,15].	***		
Digital breast tomosynthesis diagnostic	8	See references [16-20].	*		
MRI breast without and with IV contrast	2	See references [4,49].	0		
MRI breast without IV contrast	1		0		
FDG-PEM	1		€€€€		
Sestamibi MBI	1		€€€		
Image-guided core biopsy breast	1		Varies		
Image-guided fine-needle aspiration breast	1		Varies		
Rating Scale: 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate					

These imaging modalities were ordered by the gynecology NP



Diagnostic Mammogram (unlabeled)







What Additional Imaging Should We Order?



Select the applicable ACR Appropriateness Criteria

Variant 2:Palpable breast mass. Female, 40 years of age or older, mammography findings suspicious
for malignancy. Next examination to perform. (See <u>Appendix 1A</u> for additional steps in the
workup of these patients.)

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*		
US breast	9	See reference [62].	0		
MRI breast without and with IV contrast	2	See references [4,49].	0		
Image-guided core biopsy breast	2		Varies		
Mammography short-interval follow-up	1		**		
Digital breast tomosynthesis short-interval follow-up	1		€€		
MRI breast without IV contrast	1		0		
FDG-PEM	1		****		
Sestamibi MBI	1		€€€		
Image-guided fine-needle aspiration breast	1		Varies		
Rating Scale: 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate					

These tests were performed next based on suspicious mammography findings



R Breast Ultrasound Findings (unlabeled)



RT ANTI RADIAL 10:00 8 CMFN



RT RADIAL 10:00 8 CMFN



RT ANTI RADIAL 10:00 8 CMFN



RT RADIAL 10:00 8 CMFN

R Breast Mass Ultrasound Findings



Ultrasound demonstrated a 2.9cm x 1.3cm x 3.3cm irregularly shaped, hypoechoic mass with indistinct margins in the right breast at 10 o'clock in the posterior depth, 8cm from the nipple, with internal vascularity. This mass correlated with the palpable mass reported by the patient.

Ultrasound-Guided Core Biopsy and Post-Biopsy Clip Placement

12 G needle from vacuumassisted device seen in mass during biopsy



Post biopsy mammography demonstrating clip in biopsy site



Final Dx:

Invasive ductal carcinoma, ER/PR/HER2 negative



Discussion: Workup of Palpable Breast Lump

- Differential is broad and includes benign entities (incl. normal tissue), infectious/inflammatory, and malignant causes
- Initial imaging workup:
 - Women age >40: diagnostic mammography, often with ultrasound correlation
 - Women age <30: ultrasound only
 - Women age 30-39: diagnostic mammography or ultrasound considered equally appropriate for initial evaluation

• Clinical presentation of triple negative breast cancer:

- Overall more aggressive course and worse prognosis than hormone receptor positive breast cancers
- Presents with rapid growth; discovery more likely to be clinical than mammographic



Discussion: Triple Negative Breast Cancer

Epidemiology:

- 15-20% of breast cancers diagnosed worldwide
- More common in women under 40 and among Black women compared to White women
- 20% of patients with TNBC have a BRCA mutation as compared to <6% of patients with all breast cancers

Pathology and Molecular classification:

- Heterogeneous group of cancers that lack ER, PR, and HER2 receptors
- Ongoing efforts to determine subtypes suggest 2 groups: luminal and basal
- Androgen receptor expression is a potential prognostic indicator/therapeutic target
- Most common histology is infiltrating ductal carcinoma

Management:

- BRCA testing for patients age <60
- Locoregional disease: surgical management + radiation; neoadjuvant chemotherapy for tumors >0.5cm
- Metastatic disease: chemotherapy is mainstay of treatment; research surrounding targeted therapies is ongoing. Potential targets include PD-L1 and androgen receptor



Imaging Features of Triple Negative Breast Cancer

- Mammography: irregular mass with ill-defined or spiculated margins, without calcifications; can also mimic benign entities such as fibroadenoma
- Ultrasound: hypoechoic, irregular mass with circumscribed margins (up to 57% of cases)
- MRI: detects TNBC with higher sensitivity than in other tumor types and may be useful for assessing chemotherapy response
- Newer studies suggest imaging features may vary by androgen receptor (AR) status, with AR+ tumors significantly associated with heterogeneously dense breast composition, high mass density on mammography, and irregular mass shape on ultrasound

SFR

References:

- Expert Panel on Breast Imaging:, Moy L, Heller SL, Bailey L, D'Orsi C, DiFlorio RM, Green ED, Holbrook AI, Lee SJ, Lourenco AP, Mainiero MB, Sepulveda KA, Slanetz PJ, Trikha S, Yepes MM, Newell MS. ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] Palpable Breast Masses. J Am Coll Radiol. 2017 May;14(5S):S203-S224. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2017.02.033. PMID: 28473077.
- Sabel MS. Clinical manifestations, differential diagnosis, and clinical evaluation of a palpable breast mass. In: *UpToDate*, Chagpar A & Chen W (Eds), UpToDate, Waltham, MA. (Accessed on June 15, 2021.)
- Anders K & Carey L. ER/PR negative, HER2-negative (triple-negative) breast cancer. In: UpToDate, Hayes DF, Burstein HJ & Vora SR (Eds), UpToDate, Waltham, MA. (Accessed on June 15, 2021.)
- Krizmanich-Conniff KM, Paramagul C, Patterson SK, et al. Triple receptor-negative breast cancer: Imaging and clinical characteristics. *Am J Roentgenol*. 2012;199(2):458-464. doi:10.2214/AJR.10.6096
- Dogan BE, Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Gilcrease M, Dryden MJ, Yang WT. Multimodality imaging of triple receptor-negative tumors with mammography, ultrasound, and MRI. Am J Roentgenol. 2010;194(4):1160-1166. doi:10.2214/AJR.09.2355
- Candelaria RP, Adrada BE, Wei W, et al. Imaging features of triple-negative breast cancers according to androgen receptor status. *Eur J Radiol*. 2019;114(October 2018):167-174. doi:10.1016/j.ejrad.2019.03.017
- Golshan M, Wong SM, Loibl S, et al. Early assessment with magnetic resonance imaging for prediction of pathologic response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in triple-negative breast cancer: Results from the phase III BrighTNess trial. *Eur J Surg On*